Floods

Global Warming Science, EPS101

Eli Tziperman

https://courses.seas.harvard.edu/climate/eli/Courses/EPS101/













https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/why-are-pakistan-rsquo-s-floods-so-extreme-this-year/



https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/why-are-pakistan-rsquo-s-floods-so-extreme-this-year/

loodwaters in Sohbatpur, a city of roughly 200,000 in southern Pakistan. Zahid Hussain/Associated Press



NYTimes: "In a First Study of Pakistan's Floods, Scientists See Climate Change at Work

A growing field called attribution science is helping researchers rapidly assess the links between global warming and weather disasters."



https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/why-are-pakistan-rsquo-s-floods-so-extreme-this-year/

loodwaters in Sohbatpur, a city of roughly 200,000 in southern Pakistan. Zahid Hussain/Associated Press



NYTimes: "In a First Study of Pakistan's Floods, Scientists See Climate Change at Work

A growing field called attribution science is helping researchers rapidly assess the links between global warming and weather disasters."



Scientific American is much more careful...: "One-third of the country is underwater ... Pakistan has received almost three times its average annual rainfall. Researchers say the catastrophe started with phenomenal heatwaves. ... temperatures above 40 °C for prolonged periods ... Warmer air can hold more moisture ... Scientists say several factors have contributed to the extreme event"



https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/why-are-pakistan-rsquo-s-floods-so-extreme-this-year/



https://www.npr.org/2021/08/27/1031193790/western-europe-fatal-floods-climate-change

https://www.nytimes.com/2021/07/16/climate/europe-floods-climate-change.html



https://www.npr.org/2021/08/27/1031193790/western-europe-fatal-floods-climate-change



https://www.nytimes.com/2021/07/16/climate/europe-floods-climate-change.html



An aerial view of Schuld, Germany. Sascha Steinbach/EPA, Shutterst



https://www.npr.org/2021/08/27/1031193790/western-europe-fatal-floods-climate-change



https://www.nytimes.com/2021/07/16/climate/europe-floods-climate-change.html



An aerial view of Schuld, Germany. Sascha Steinbach/EPA, Shuttersto



https://www.npr.org/2021/08/27/1031193790/western-europe-fatal-floods-climate-change



https://www.nytimes.com/2021/07/16/climate/europe-floods-climate-change.html

Schuld, Gern

Floods, Germany 2021. NYTimes: "It Is All Connected: Extreme Weather in the Age of Climate Change. The storm that brought flooding and devastation to parts of Europe is the latest example of an extreme weather event. More are expected."

An aerial view of Schuld, Germany. Sascha Steinbach/EPA, Shutterst



Erftstadt, Germany, heavy rainfall broke bank

https://www.npr.org/2021/08/27/1031193790/western-europe-fatal-floods-climate-change



https://www.nytimes.com/2021/07/16/climate/europe-floods-climate-change.html

Floods, Libya 2023, failed dams, Wadi Derna, ~20,000 dead



Heavy rainfall (up to 414 mm/day!) by storm Daniel led two dams in Libya to collapse, killing thousands of people and creating a massive humanitarian disaster. Reuters: "Climate change made 2023 Libya flooding 50 times more likely" Human error is another major element that led to the severity of the event https://abcnews.go.com/International/climate-change-1-main-factors-led-catastrophic-flooding/story?id=103306663

Floods, Libya 2023, failed dams, Wadi Derna, ~20,000 dead



Heavy rainfall (up to 414 mm/day!) by storm Daniel led two dams in Libya to collapse, killing thousands of people and creating a massive humanitarian disaster. Reuters: "Climate change made 2023 Libya flooding 50 times more likely" Human error is another major element that led to the severity of the event https://abcnews.go.com/International/climate-change-1-main-factors-led-catastrophic-flooding/story?id=103306663

Floods, Libya 2023, failed dams, Wadi Derna, ~20,000 dead



Heavy rainfall (up to 414 mm/day!) by storm Daniel led two dams in Libya to collapse, killing thousands of people and creating a massive humanitarian disaster. Reuters: "Climate change made 2023 Libya flooding 50 times more likely" Human error is another major element that led to the severity of the event https://abcnews.go.com/International/climate-change-1-main-factors-led-catastrophic-flooding/story?id=103306663

Floods

(Our focus here is on floods due to extreme precipitation rather than due to sea level rise)



Guardian

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fbyK1z1_W4s&t=135s

The Guardian

Floods

(Our focus here is on floods due to extreme precipitation rather than due to sea level rise)



Guardian

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fbyK1z1_W4s&t=135s

The Guardian

Outline

- A. Flood basics: types, causes, atmospheric rivers
- B. ► A global perspective on changes to the *mean precipitation patterns* in a warmer climate:
 - 1. Hadley cell weakening and expansion
 - 2. Wet getting wetter, dry getting drier
- C. ► Understanding the expected increase in *extreme precipitation events* in a warmer climate.

Three types of floods

A. River floods: river overwhelmed by intense rain/snow or ice melting upstream, water level goes up, floods nearby areas.



Three types of floods

- A. River floods: river overwhelmed by intense rain/snow or ice melting upstream, water level goes up, floods nearby areas.
- B. Flash floods/surface water floods: start within a few hours of a rain event. When the soil cannot absorb abrupt & intense rain. In cities due to large paved areas/deserts with loess soil (dust) that seals due to initial moisture.



Three types of floods

- A. River floods: river overwhelmed by intense rain/snow or ice melting upstream, water level goes up, floods nearby areas.
- B. Flash floods/surface water floods: start within a few hours of a rain event. When the soil cannot absorb abrupt & intense rain. In cities due to large paved areas/deserts with loess soil (dust) that seals due to initial moisture.
- C. Coastal flooding: due to storm surges, possibly amplified by high tide.



(NYTimes, a thoughtful article this time :-)

"How Is Climate Change Affecting Floods?" "While extreme precipitation events are increasing due to climate warming, there is less evidence for an increase in floods."



(NYTimes, a thoughtful article this time :-)

"How Is Climate Change Affecting Floods?" "While extreme precipitation events are increasing due to climate warming, there is less evidence for an increase in floods."



Ingredients contributing to flood development:

- A. Precipitation (especially intense, persistent, and large-area),
- B. Snowmelt,
- C. Topography (collecting precipitation from large areas and slopes leading to rapid flow),
- D. Soil wetness/saturation in the catchment area,
- E. Land-use changes, including urbanization/paved roads.
- F. Infrastructure failing, e.g., levee/dam breaking.

(NYTimes, a thoughtful article this time :-)

"How Is Climate Change Affecting Floods?" "While extreme precipitation events are increasing due to climate warming, there is less evidence for an increase in floods."



Ingredients contributing to flood development:

- A. Precipitation (especially intense, persistent, and large-area),
- B. Snowmelt,
- C. Topography (collecting precipitation from large areas and slopes leading to rapid flow),
- D. Soil wetness/saturation in the catchment area,
- E. Land-use changes, including urbanization/paved roads.
- F. Infrastructure failing, e.g., levee/dam breaking.

How floods are measured

- Stage height (the height of the water in a river relative to a specific point)
- Flow rate (how much water passes by a specific location over a particular period).
- Statistical characterization of the severity of a flood: "a 100-year flood," a flood that has a 1 percent chance of striking in any given year

https://www.nytimes.com/article/flooding-climate-change.html

100%

Extreme precipitation and floods

The role of soil moisture in catchment area:

"The probability of an upper 99th percentile discharge event (Q_{30%}) being associated with an upper 99th percentile precipitation event (P_{30%}) across the contiguous United States.

Wet (antecedence) is defined as a soil moisture wetness above the median, and dry (antecedence) is defined as below the median." (Sharma et al 2018, Ivancic and Shaw 2015).



100%

The role of soil moisture in catchment area:

"The probability of an upper 99th percentile discharge event (Q_{30%}) being associated with an upper 99th percentile precipitation event (P_{30%}) across the contiguous United States.

Wet (antecedence) is defined as a soil moisture wetness above the median, and dry (antecedence) is defined as below the median." (Sharma et al 2018, Ivancic and Shaw 2015).



This suggests that overall larger precipitation, leading to moister soil, increases the probability of floods

Workshop

4. Global pattern of projected precipitation change in an RCP8.5 projection

Workshop

4. Global pattern of projected precipitation change in an RCP8.5 projection





Global Warming Science 101, Floods, Eli Tziperman

California's future: Droughts or Floods?

California's future: Droughts or Floods?



CBS news https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ylLP76p4rH0

The Fingerprints on Chile's Fires and California Floods: El Niño and Warming. Feb. 5, 2024.

Behind these risks are two powerful forces: Climate change, which can intensify both rain and drought, and ... El Niño, which can also supersize extreme weather. In California, meteorologists had been warning for days that an unusually strong ... atmospheric river was gathering force because of extraordinarily high Pacific Ocean temperatures.

California's future: Droughts or Floods?



CBS news https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ylLP76p4rH0

The Fingerprints on Chile's Fires and California Floods: El Niño and Warming. Feb. 5, 2024.

Behind these risks are two powerful forces: Climate change, which can intensify both rain and drought, and ... El Niño, which can also supersize extreme weather. In California, meteorologists had been warning for days that an unusually strong ... atmospheric river was gathering force because of extraordinarily high Pacific Ocean temperatures.

Β.

10

150

100

50

California's future: Droughts or Floods?

Griffin & Anchukaitis, 2014: "the current event is the most severe drought in the last 1200 years... driven by reduced though not unprecedented precipitation and record high temperatures."



Figure 1. NADA: North American Drought Atlas for Central/ Southern California (33°N–38°N & 118°W–125°W [Vose et al., 2014]. Red line & star: 2014 value. (B) Distribution of the composite NADA-NOAA JJA PDSI values for the period 800-2014. (C) Long-term (800-2014) composite NADA-NOAA (black) and instrumental (red) PDSI.

Β.

0

10

150

100

50

-10

FREQUENCY

California's future: Droughts or Floods?

Griffin & Anchukaitis, 2014: "the current event is **the most severe drought in the last 1200 years...** driven by reduced though not unprecedented precipitation and record high temperatures."

The New York Times

California Drought Is Made Worse by Global Warming, Scientists Say



Visitors along the recessed shores of Beal's Point in California's Folsom Lake State Recreation Area. A new study has found that inevitable droughts in California were made worse by global warming. Damon Winter/The New York Times



Figure 1. NADA: North American Drought Atlas for Central/ Southern California (33°N–38°N & 118°W–125°W [Vose et al., 2014]. Red line & star: 2014 value. **(B)** Distribution of the composite NADA-NOAA JJA PDSI values for the period 800– 2014. **(C)** Long-term (800–2014) composite NADA-NOAA (black) and instrumental (red) PDSI.

Wet California during the Pliocene (2–5 Myr, 400 ppm CO₂)



Wet California during the Pliocene (2–5 Myr, 400 ppm CO₂)

- lacustrine sediments,
- fish fossils,
- mega-plant fossils,
- fossils of animals,
- pollen,
- diatoms,
- ostracod









Wet California during the Pliocene (2–5 Myr, 400 ppm CO₂)

- lacustrine sediments,
- fish fossils,
- mega-plant fossils,
- fossils of animals,
- pollen,
- diatoms,
- ostracod







https://en.wikipsdia.org/wiki/Ostracod

Topographic map of the western US showing Pliocene lakes and other sites where lacustrine deposits or fossil finds indicate wetter environments than today. Pollen and megaflora fossils call for a drier environment than today at Oak Grove, but wetter at Cache Valley and Sonoma. Abundant lacustrine sediment with fish fossils and pollen suggestive of moist environments attest to a large lake, Lake Idaho, that had formed before 3.7 Ma and lingered until ~3 Ma. Diatoms, pollen, and ostracods attest to a wetter environment in Tulelake than today, but ostracods and other organisms in lacustrine sediment dated to 4.8 at Alturas suggest little difference from today. Pliocene lacustrine sediment, in some cases with pollen, mega-plant fossils, and fossils of animals, suggestive of environments wetter than those today, have been reported from numerous inland sites: the Salt Lake Formation in Lake Bonneville cores and Cache Valley; Rhodes Salt Lake, Clayton Valley, and Lakes Russell and Rennie; Verde Valley; Donnelly Ranch, Santa Fe Group in the Rio Grande Rift, and Hueco Bolson. The chemistry of caliche and related deposits were used to infer marshland and ponds in the Amargosa Desert, and for the San Pedro Valley. A large lake occupied the Alamosa Basin throughout much of Pliocene and Quaternary time. Shorelines of a number of Pliocene Lakes in the Death Valley-Owens Valley region: Lake Russell, the predecessor to Mono Lake, Lake Manley, Owens, China, Searles Lakes, and other small lakes. Widespread lacustrine sediment that seemed to occupy a series of early Pliocene lakes (Blythe, Mojave, Havaus, and Hulapai) along the modern Colorado River valley in California-Arizona. Petrified wood from the Anza Borrego Desert suggests a wetter climate there.
Wikipedia: "Atmospheric rivers are narrow corridors or filaments of concentrated moisture in the atmosphere. Typically thousands of km long and only a few hundred km wide. A single one can carry a greater water flux than the Earth's largest river, the Amazon. There are typically 3–5 of these present within a hemisphere at any given time." (<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atmospheric_river</u>)



a. 18Z 8 Jan 2017: SSMI IWV

b. 18Z 8 Jan 2017: NCEP GFS IVT and SLP

Ralph et al 2019

Wikipedia: "Atmospheric rivers are narrow corridors or filaments of concentrated moisture in the atmosphere. Typically thousands of km long and only a few hundred km wide. A single one can carry a greater water flux than the Earth's largest river, the Amazon. There are typically 3–5 of these present within a hemisphere at any given time." (<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atmospheric_river</u>)

b. 18Z 8 Jan 2017: NCEP GFS IVT and SLP



a. 18Z 8 Jan 2017: SSMI IWV

Ralph et al 2019

Defining/ quantifying ARs:

- IVT: vertically integrated water vapor transport $IVT = \int \mathbf{u}q \rho dz = -\int \mathbf{u}q \, dp/g$
- IWV: vertically integrated water vapor (precipitable water, the depth of water in a column of the atmosphere, if all water were precipitated as rain) $IWV = \rho_w^{-1} \int \rho_a q \, dz$

An atmospheric river is, by definition, IVT \geq 250 kg m⁻¹s⁻¹ and IWV \geq 2.0 cm.



Atmospheric rivers occur regularly in wintertime, and they account for up to 50 percent of all rain and snow that falls in the western United States. 12 of them hit California in March 2023, causing floods and drought relief.

https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/images/150804/atmospheric-river-lashes-california



Atmospheric rivers occur regularly in wintertime, and they account for up to 50 percent of all rain and snow that falls in the western United States. 12 of them hit California in March 2023, causing floods and drought relief.

visible infrared imaging radiometer

https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/images/150804/atmospheric-river-lashes-california



https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/images/150804/atmospheric-river-lashes-california

Outline

A. Flood basics: types, causes, atmospheric rivers

- B. ► A global perspective on changes to the *mean precipitation patterns* in a warmer climate:
 - 1. Hadley cell weakening and expansion
 - 2. Wet getting wetter, dry getting drier
- C. ➡ Understanding the expected increase in *extreme precipitation events* in a warmer climate.

Mean precipitation patterns & the Hadley circulation



Climatological annual mean precipitation (mm/day) for 1979-2010.

Global mean = 2.67 mm/day. (Climate Data Guide; D. Shea)

Mean precipitation patterns & the Hadley circulation



Climatological annual mean precipitation (mm/day) for 1979-2010.

Global mean = 2.67 mm/day. (Climate Data Guide; D. Shea)



Global map of Annual mean Evaporation minus precipitation ERA-40 Atlas; NASA & ECMWF

https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Latitude Longitude Evaporation minus precipitation.jpg

Mean precipitation patterns & the Hadley circulation



Climatological annual mean precipitation (mm/day) for 1979-2010.

• Global mean = 2.67 mm/day. (Climate Data Guide; D. Shea)

The Hadley Cell/Circulation



https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Schematic-of-the-Hadley-circulations-TTL-Tropical-tropopause-layer_fig1_322886947

The downward branch is associated with dry areas

Global map of Annual mean Evaporation minus precipitation ERA-40 Atlas; NASA & ECMWF

https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Latitude Longitude Evaporation minus precipitation.jpg

Weakening and poleward expansion of the Hadley circulation Textbook section 12.6.1 (notes 12.3.1)



Figure 12.6: Projected Hadley cell expansion under global warming. Color shadings: the zonallyaveraged atmospheric meridional overturning circulation evaluated by a climate model for 1920– 1940. Contour shading levels: transport in Sverdrups (Sv, here 10⁹ kg/s). Gray lines: same quantity for RCP8.5, averaged over 2080–2100. Solid black contour: zero contour of the 1920– 1940 stream-function, & dashed black contour is that for 2080–2100.

Hadley weakening

Hadley weakening

Hadley weakening

The poleward expansion of the Hadley cells

An air parcel rising in the present climate at the equator and traveling poleward at an altitude of a few km is shifted by the Coriolis force to the right in the Northern Hemisphere, creating the subtropical upper-level westerly (eastward) tropospheric jet. The jet becomes stronger the further the air moves poleward, eventually becomes unstable, and breaks into weatherscale motions, not allowing the jets to strengthen further and setting the poleward edge of the Hadley cell. The tropical lapse rate weakening in a warmer climate allows the Hadley cell to further expand poleward before becoming unstable.

Hadley weakening

The poleward expansion of the Hadley cells

An air parcel rising in the present climate at the equator and traveling poleward at an altitude of a few km is shifted by the Coriolis force to the right in the Northern Hemisphere, creating the subtropical upper-level westerly (eastward) tropospheric jet. The jet becomes stronger the further the air moves poleward, eventually becomes unstable, and breaks into weatherscale motions, not allowing the jets to strengthen further and setting the poleward edge of the Hadley cell. The tropical lapse rate weakening in a warmer climate allows the Hadley cell to further expand poleward before becoming unstable.

Caveat:

These mechanisms for both the weakening and expansion of the Hadley cell in warmer climates are partial and oversimplified. Additional factors in play in the Hadley cell weakening and expansion include changes to the atmospheric lapse rate, tropopause height, and more.

Mean precipitation trends: Wet getting wetter, dry getting drier Textbook section 12.6.2 (notes 12.3.2)



Mean precipitation P and evaporation E as a function of latitude.

https://www.gfdl.noaa.gov/blog_held/13-the-strength-of-the-hydrological-cycle/

Mean precipitation trends: Wet getting wetter, dry getting drier Textbook section 12.6.2 (notes 12.3.2)





FIG. 6. Projected zonal mean change $\Delta(P-E)$ from AR4 models (solid) and the thermodynamic component (dashed) predicted from (6). From simulations using the SRES A1B. Held & Soden 2006

Mean precipitation P and evaporation E as a function of latitude.

https://www.gfdl.noaa.gov/blog_held/13-the-strength-of-the-hydrological-cycle/

Mean precipitation trends: Wet getting wetter, dry getting drier

Textbook section 12.6.2 (notes 12.3.2)



FIG. 6. Projected zonal mean change $\Delta(P-E)$ from AR4 models (solid) and the thermodynamic component (dashed) predicted from (6). From simulations using the SRES A1B. Held & Soden 2006

Mean precipitation P and evaporation E as a function of latitude.

https://www.gfdl.noaa.gov/blog_held/13-the-strength-of-the-hydrological-cycle/

Mean precipitation trends: Wet getting wetter, dry getting drier

Textbook section 12.6.2 (notes 12.3.2)



FIG. 6. Projected zonal mean change $\Delta(P - E)$ from AR4 models (solid) and the thermodynamic component (dashed) predicted from (6). From simulations using the SRES A1B. Held & Soden 2006

Mean precipitation P and evaporation E as a function of latitude.

https://www.gfdl.noaa.gov/blog_held/13-the-strength-of-the-hydrological-cycle/

Rich get richer, poor get poorer

IPCC AR5: The 'wet-get-wetter' and 'dry-get-drier' response that is evident at large scales over oceans can be understood as a simple consequence of a change in the water vapor content carried by circulations, which otherwise are little changed. Wet regions are wet because they import moisture from dry regions, increasingly so with warmer temperatures. section 7.6.2

Why is the change to precipitation-evaporation in a warming scenario proportional to its mean value: $\delta(P - E) = \alpha_{cc} \delta T \times (P - E)$?



Why is the change to precipitation-evaporation in a warming scenario proportional to its mean value: $\delta(P - E) = \alpha_{cc} \delta T \times (P - E)$?

The two factors:

- F(y) Northward moisture flux: the poleward air velocity times the atmospheric moisture times air density, integrated over height, in kg moisture per second per (east-west) meter.
- P E precipitation minus evaporation, in kg moisture per second per m²

are related via: $(P - E) = -\frac{dF}{dy}$. This is derived from the moisture budget of a slice of air: $y = y + \Delta y$ $F(y) - F(y + \Delta y) - (P - E)\Delta y = 0.$





Why is the change to precipitation-evaporation in a warming scenario proportional to its mean value: $\delta(P - E) = \alpha_{cc} \delta T \times (P - E)$?

The two factors:

- F(y) Northward moisture flux: the poleward air velocity times the atmospheric moisture times air density, integrated over height, in kg moisture per second per (east-west) meter.
- P E precipitation minus evaporation, in kg moisture per second per m²

are related via: $(P - E) = -\frac{dF}{dy}$. This is derived from the moisture budget of a slice of air: $F(y) - F(y + \Delta y) - (P - E)\Delta y = 0.$ Also, assume $\delta F/F = \delta q^*/q^* = \alpha_{CC}\delta T$ so that $\delta F = \alpha_{CC}\delta T \times F$. Then, $\int F(y) - F(y + \Delta y) - (P - E)\Delta y = 0.$



Why is the change to precipitation-evaporation in a warming scenario proportional to its mean value: $\delta(P - E) = \alpha_{cc} \delta T \times (P - E)$?

The two factors:

- F(y) Northward moisture flux: the poleward air velocity times the atmospheric moisture times air density, integrated over height, in kg moisture per second per (east-west) meter.
- P E precipitation minus evaporation, in kg moisture per second per m²

are related via: $(P - E) = -\frac{dF}{dv}$. This is derived from the moisture budget of a slice of air: $y + \Delta y$ $F(y) - F(y + \Delta y) - (P - E)\Delta y = 0.$ atmosphere $\rightarrow F(y + \Delta y)$ F(y)Also, assume $\delta F/F = \delta q^*/q^* = \alpha_{CC} \delta T$ so that $\delta F = \alpha_{CC} \delta T \times F$. Then, ocean P-E $\frac{\delta(P-E)}{dv} = -\frac{d}{dv}(\delta F) = -\frac{d}{dv}(\alpha_{cc}\delta T \times F) \approx -\alpha_{cc}\delta T \times \frac{d}{dv}(F) = \alpha_{cc}\delta T \times (P-E),$ expected change mean precipitation rich E) (mm/day) 0.5 E (mm/day) 2 0 0.0 -2 5(Р -0.5 (Following Held and Soden, 2000) DOOr 45 -45 45 -45 90 -90 0 90 -90 0 Textbook section 12.6.2 (notes 12.3.2) Latitude Latitude

Mean precipitation trends: Wet getting wetter, dry getting drier Textbook section 12.6.2

The change to precipitation-evaporation in a warming scenario proportional to its mean value: $\delta(P - E) = \alpha_{cc} \delta T \times (P - E)$



Figure 12.7: Attempting to explain the global response of precipitation minus evaporation to a warming scenario. (a) Zonally-averaged surface temperature as a function of latitude during 1920–1940 (blue) and 2080-2100 (red) in the RCP8.5 scenario. (b) The net warming as a function of latitude. (c) Zonally averaged P – E for the same year ranges. (d) The change in *P* – *E* (solid) vs its predicted structure based on equation 12.2 (dash).

Workshop

5. "Wet getting wetter, dry getting drier" projections:

Workshop

5. "Wet getting wetter, dry getting drier" projections:



Outline

- A. Flood basics: types, causes, atmospheric rivers
- B. ► A global perspective on changes to the *mean precipitation patterns* in a warmer climate:
 - 1. Hadley cell weakening and expansion
 - 2. Wet getting wetter, dry getting drier
- C. ► Understanding the expected increase in *extreme precipitation events* in a warmer climate.

Global Warming Science 101, Floods, Eli Tziperman

Workshop

6. Analyzing extreme precipitation in weather station data

Workshop

6. (a) Analyzing extreme precipitation in weather station data



6 (b) Extreme precipitation in weather station data



Discuss attribution consequences of workshop results

Estimate the increased precipitation rate in extreme events, P_e : (O'Gorman & Schneider, 2009)

• As an air parcel rises beyond the lift condensation level (LCL) a further decrease in the saturation specific humidity leads to condensation whose rate is equal to the rate of decrease in the saturation specific humidity of the parcel, $-dq^*/dt$.

- As an air parcel rises beyond the lift condensation level (LCL) a further decrease in the saturation specific humidity leads to condensation whose rate is equal to the rate of decrease in the saturation specific humidity of the parcel, $-dq^*/dt$.
- The contribution to surface precipitation rate is $-\epsilon_p dq^*/dt$, where ϵ_p is the "precipitation efficiency," the fraction of the condensed water that precipitates to the ground, vs re-evaporated or horizontally transported away.

- As an air parcel rises beyond the lift condensation level (LCL) a further decrease in the saturation specific humidity leads to condensation whose rate is equal to the rate of decrease in the saturation specific humidity of the parcel, $-dq^*/dt$.
- The contribution to surface precipitation rate is $-\epsilon_p dq^*/dt$, where ϵ_p is the "precipitation efficiency," the fraction of the condensed water that precipitates to the ground, vs re-evaporated or horizontally transported away.
- Next, $dq^*/dt = (dq^*/dp) \times (dp/dt)$. Denote $\omega = dp/dt$ (negative for a rising air). Remember that as the moisture in the parcel condenses, latent heat is released and moist static energy is conserved, so we need to take the derivative dq^*/dp at a constant MSE.

- As an air parcel rises beyond the lift condensation level (LCL) a further decrease in the saturation specific humidity leads to condensation whose rate is equal to the rate of decrease in the saturation specific humidity of the parcel, $-dq^*/dt$.
- The contribution to surface precipitation rate is $-\epsilon_p dq^*/dt$, where ϵ_p is the "precipitation efficiency," the fraction of the condensed water that precipitates to the ground, vs re-evaporated or horizontally transported away.
- Next, $dq^*/dt = (dq^*/dp) \times (dp/dt)$. Denote $\omega = dp/dt$ (negative for a rising air). Remember that as the moisture in the parcel condenses, latent heat is released and moist static energy is conserved, so we need to take the derivative dq^*/dp at a constant MSE.
- The total extreme precipitation rate at the surface is then the vertical integral over the precipitation efficiency times the condensation rate, written as

- As an air parcel rises beyond the lift condensation level (LCL) a further decrease in the saturation specific humidity leads to condensation whose rate is equal to the rate of decrease in the saturation specific humidity of the parcel, $-dq^*/dt$.
- The contribution to surface precipitation rate is $-\epsilon_p dq^*/dt$, where ϵ_p is the "precipitation efficiency," the fraction of the condensed water that precipitates to the ground, vs re-evaporated or horizontally transported away.
- Next, $dq^*/dt = (dq^*/dp) \times (dp/dt)$. Denote $\omega = dp/dt$ (negative for a rising air). Remember that as the moisture in the parcel condenses, latent heat is released and moist static energy is conserved, so we need to take the derivative dq^*/dp at a constant MSE.
- The total extreme precipitation rate at the surface is then the vertical integral over the precipitation efficiency times the condensation rate, written as

$$P_e = -\left\{ \left. \epsilon_p \omega \left. \frac{dq^*(T,p)}{dp} \right|_{\text{MSE}} \right\}, \text{ where } \{ \cdot \} \text{ denotes a vertical integral.}$$

(Following O'Gorman and Schneider, 2009) The total extreme precipitation rate at the surface is then the vertical integral over the precipitation efficiency times the condensation rate,

$$P_{e} = -\left\{ \left. \epsilon_{p} \times \omega \times \frac{dq^{*}(T,p)}{dp} \right|_{\text{MSE}} \right\}, \text{ where } \omega = \frac{dp}{dt} \text{ and } \epsilon_{p} \text{ is the precipitation efficiency}$$
(Following O'Gorman and Schneider, 2009) The total extreme precipitation rate at the surface is then the vertical integral over the precipitation efficiency times the condensation rate,

$$P_{e} = -\left\{ \left. \epsilon_{p} \times \omega \times \frac{dq^{*}(T,p)}{dp} \right|_{\text{MSE}} \right\}, \text{ where } \omega = \frac{dp}{dt} \text{ and } \epsilon_{p} \text{ is the precipitation efficiency}$$

Three possible factors can lead to a change in extreme precipitation in a warmer climate.

First, the precipitation efficiency may change due to cloud microphysical effects.

(Following O'Gorman and Schneider, 2009) The total extreme precipitation rate at the surface is then the vertical integral over the precipitation efficiency times the condensation rate,

$$P_{e} = -\left\{ \left. \epsilon_{p} \times \omega \times \frac{dq^{*}(T,p)}{dp} \right|_{\text{MSE}} \right\}, \text{ where } \omega = \frac{dp}{dt} \text{ and } \epsilon_{p} \text{ is the precipitation efficiency}$$

Three possible factors can lead to a change in extreme precipitation in a warmer climate.

First, the precipitation efficiency may change due to cloud microphysical effects.

Second is the vertical velocity during extreme precipitation events (dynamical component). It may be due to wind over a mountain, to vertical velocities in mid-lat weather systems, or to updrafts in convection with a small horizontal length scale of a few hundred meters to a few kilometers.

(Following O'Gorman and Schneider, 2009) The total extreme precipitation rate at the surface is then the vertical integral over the precipitation efficiency times the condensation rate,

$$P_{e} = -\left\{ \left. \epsilon_{p} \times \omega \times \frac{dq^{*}(T,p)}{dp} \right|_{\text{MSE}} \right\}, \text{ where } \omega = \frac{dp}{dt} \text{ and } \epsilon_{p} \text{ is the precipitation efficiency}$$

Three possible factors can lead to a change in extreme precipitation in a warmer climate.

First, the precipitation efficiency may change due to cloud microphysical effects.

Second is the vertical velocity during extreme precipitation events (dynamical component). It may be due to wind over a mountain, to vertical velocities in mid-lat weather systems, or to updrafts in convection with a small horizontal length scale of a few hundred meters to a few kilometers.

Third is the thermodynamic component, which may be written somewhat less cryptically as

$$\frac{dq^*(T,p)}{dp} \bigg|_{\text{MSE}} = \frac{\partial q^*(T,p)}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial q^*(T,p)}{\partial T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial p} \bigg|_{\text{MSE}}$$

(Following O'Gorman and Schneider, 2009) The total extreme precipitation rate at the surface is then the vertical integral over the precipitation efficiency times the condensation rate,

$$P_{e} = -\left\{ \left. \epsilon_{p} \times \omega \times \frac{dq^{*}(T,p)}{dp} \right|_{\text{MSE}} \right\}, \text{ where } \omega = \frac{dp}{dt} \text{ and } \epsilon_{p} \text{ is the precipitation efficiency}$$

Three possible factors can lead to a change in extreme precipitation in a warmer climate.

First, the precipitation efficiency may change due to cloud microphysical effects.

Second is the vertical velocity during extreme precipitation events (dynamical component). It may be due to wind over a mountain, to vertical velocities in mid-lat weather systems, or to updrafts in convection with a small horizontal length scale of a few hundred meters to a few kilometers.

Third is the thermodynamic component, which may be written somewhat less cryptically as

$$\frac{dq^*(T,p)}{dp} \bigg|_{\text{MSE}} = \frac{\partial q^*(T,p)}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial q^*(T,p)}{\partial T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial p} \bigg|_{\text{MSE}}$$

The final factor here is related to the moist adiabatic $\frac{\partial T}{\partial p}$ lapse rate $\frac{\partial T}{\partial z}$ taken at a constant MSE, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial p}$

 $\frac{\partial T}{\partial p}\bigg|_{\rm MSE} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}\bigg|_{\rm MSE} \frac{\partial z}{\partial p},$

where $\partial z/\partial p$ can be calculated from the exponential dependence of the pressure on height.

Increase in extreme precipitation events in warmer climate



Figure 12.10: Two estimates for the dependence of extreme precipitation rates on temperature. One is based on the saturation specific humidity (Clausius-Clapeyron scaling, blue) and the other on the thermodynamic component of the estimate from equation (12.3). The orange curve is scaled by a constant factor to have the same value as the blue one at the lowest temperature plotted.

Increase in extreme precipitation events in warmer climate



Floods in IPCC AR6, 2022 [Chapter 11.5.2, page 1568]

The SREX (Seneviratne et al., 2012) assessed low confidence for observed changes in the magnitude or frequency of floods at the global scale. This assessment was confirmed by AR5 (Hartmann et al., 2013). The SR1.5 (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2018) found increases in flood frequency and extreme streamflow in some regions, but decreases in other regions. While the number of studies on flood trends has increased since AR5, and there were also new analyses after the release of SR1.5 (Berghuijs et al., 2017; Blöschl et al., 2019; Gudmundsson et al., 2019), hydrological literature on observed flood changes is heterogeneous, focusing at regional and subregional basin scales, making it difficult to synthesize at the global and sometimes regional scales. The vast majority of studies focus on river floods using streamflow as a proxy, with limited attention to urban floods. Streamflow measurements are not evenly distributed over space, with gaps in spatial coverage, and their coverage in many regions of Africa, South America, and parts of Asia is poor (e.g., Do et al., 2017), leading to difficulties in detecting long-term changes in floods (Slater and Villarini, 2017).

Peak flow trends are characterized by high regional variability and lack overall statistical significance of a decrease or an increase over the globe as a whole. Of more than 3500 streamflow stations in the USA, central and Northern Europe, Africa, Brazil, and Australia, 7.1% stations showed a significant increase, and 11.9% stations showed a significant decrease in annual maximum peak flow during 1961–2005 (Do et al., 2017). This is in direct contrast to the global and continental scale intensification of short-duration extreme precipitation (Section 11.4.2).

Workshop

7. Projected extreme precipitation events

Workshop

7. Projected extreme precipitation events



- 1. Types and causes:
 - A. Flash floods/River floods/coastal flooding.

- 1. Types and causes:
 - A. Flash floods/River floods/coastal flooding.
 - B. Many occur due to extreme precipitation events.

- A. Flash floods/River floods/coastal flooding.
- B. Many occur due to extreme precipitation events.
- C. Floods (rain-driven) depend on many factors: precipitation magnitude, duration & area, soil saturation, infrastructure failure, and urbanization.

- A. Flash floods/River floods/coastal flooding.
- B. Many occur due to extreme precipitation events.
- C. Floods (rain-driven) depend on many factors: precipitation magnitude, duration & area, soil saturation, infrastructure failure, and urbanization.
- 2. Mean precipitation patterns are expected to change:

- A. Flash floods/River floods/coastal flooding.
- B. Many occur due to extreme precipitation events.
- C. Floods (rain-driven) depend on many factors: precipitation magnitude, duration & area, soil saturation, infrastructure failure, and urbanization.
- 2. Mean precipitation patterns are expected to change:
 - D. Hadley cell expansion would shift rain/dryness latitude belts

- A. Flash floods/River floods/coastal flooding.
- B. Many occur due to extreme precipitation events.
- C. Floods (rain-driven) depend on many factors: precipitation magnitude, duration & area, soil saturation, infrastructure failure, and urbanization.
- 2. Mean precipitation patterns are expected to change:
 - D. Hadley cell expansion would shift rain/dryness latitude belts
 - E. Rich getting richer: a result of Clausius-Clapeyron, ~7%/degree C, does not hold well over land, where patterns are complex.

- A. Flash floods/River floods/coastal flooding.
- B. Many occur due to extreme precipitation events.
- C. Floods (rain-driven) depend on many factors: precipitation magnitude, duration & area, soil saturation, infrastructure failure, and urbanization.
- 2. Mean precipitation patterns are expected to change:
 - D. Hadley cell expansion would shift rain/dryness latitude belts
 - E. Rich getting richer: a result of Clausius-Clapeyron, ~7%/degree C, does not hold well over land, where patterns are complex.
- 3. Precipitation extremes are expected to get stronger:

- A. Flash floods/River floods/coastal flooding.
- B. Many occur due to extreme precipitation events.
- C. Floods (rain-driven) depend on many factors: precipitation magnitude, duration & area, soil saturation, infrastructure failure, and urbanization.
- 2. Mean precipitation patterns are expected to change:
 - D. Hadley cell expansion would shift rain/dryness latitude belts
 - E. Rich getting richer: a result of Clausius-Clapeyron, ~7%/degree C, does not hold well over land, where patterns are complex.
- 3. Precipitation extremes are expected to get stronger:
 - A. Due to Clausius-Clapeyron again.

- A. Flash floods/River floods/coastal flooding.
- B. Many occur due to extreme precipitation events.
- C. Floods (rain-driven) depend on many factors: precipitation magnitude, duration & area, soil saturation, infrastructure failure, and urbanization.
- 2. Mean precipitation patterns are expected to change:
 - D. Hadley cell expansion would shift rain/dryness latitude belts
 - E. Rich getting richer: a result of Clausius-Clapeyron, ~7%/degree C, does not hold well over land, where patterns are complex.
- 3. Precipitation extremes are expected to get stronger:
 - A. Due to Clausius-Clapeyron again.
 - B. Difficult to see in individual weather stations, but detectable when analyzing larger regions.

- A. Flash floods/River floods/coastal flooding.
- B. Many occur due to extreme precipitation events.
- C. Floods (rain-driven) depend on many factors: precipitation magnitude, duration & area, soil saturation, infrastructure failure, and urbanization.
- 2. Mean precipitation patterns are expected to change:
 - D. Hadley cell expansion would shift rain/dryness latitude belts
 - E. Rich getting richer: a result of Clausius-Clapeyron, ~7%/degree C, does not hold well over land, where patterns are complex.
- 3. Precipitation extremes are expected to get stronger:
 - A. Due to Clausius-Clapeyron again.
 - B. Difficult to see in individual weather stations, but detectable when analyzing larger regions.
 - C. The short record makes the attribution of individual events difficult.

- A. Flash floods/River floods/coastal flooding.
- B. Many occur due to extreme precipitation events.
- C. Floods (rain-driven) depend on many factors: precipitation magnitude, duration & area, soil saturation, infrastructure failure, and urbanization.
- 2. Mean precipitation patterns are expected to change:
 - D. Hadley cell expansion would shift rain/dryness latitude belts
 - E. Rich getting richer: a result of Clausius-Clapeyron, ~7%/degree C, does not hold well over land, where patterns are complex.
- 3. Precipitation extremes are expected to get stronger:
 - A. Due to Clausius-Clapeyron again.
 - B. Difficult to see in individual weather stations, but detectable when analyzing larger regions.
 - C. The short record makes the attribution of individual events difficult.
 - D. Current trends in floods are uncertain, no clear trend.

- A. Flash floods/River floods/coastal flooding.
- B. Many occur due to extreme precipitation events.
- C. Floods (rain-driven) depend on many factors: precipitation magnitude, duration & area, soil saturation, infrastructure failure, and urbanization.
- 2. Mean precipitation patterns are expected to change:
 - D. Hadley cell expansion would shift rain/dryness latitude belts
 - E. Rich getting richer: a result of Clausius-Clapeyron, ~7%/degree C, does not hold well over land, where patterns are complex.
- 3. Precipitation extremes are expected to get stronger:
 - A. Due to Clausius-Clapeyron again.
 - B. Difficult to see in individual weather stations, but detectable when analyzing larger regions.
 - C. The short record makes the attribution of individual events difficult.
 - D. Current trends in floods are uncertain, no clear trend.
 - E. Future projections of stronger precipitation extremes are still robust.

Global Warming Science 101, Floods, Eli Tziperman

The End